

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8

9  
10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
11 Plaintiff,  
12 v.  
13 TRINIDAD RIVERA-CORONA,  
14 Defendant.  
15

16 NO. CR-07-2020-LRS-1  
17

18 **ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S  
19 MOTION TO WITHDRAW MOTION TO  
20 WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA**

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 BEFORE THE COURT, is Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Motion to  
Withdraw Guilty Plea, Ct. Rec. 332, filed April 1, 2011. At the hearing  
on March 23, 2011, Defendant indicated his intent, orally, to withdraw  
his Motion to Withdraw Plea and proceed with sentencing. The government  
has not opposed the instant motion.

Counsel for the Defendant asserts in his motion that the Defendant  
has had a change of position concerning his request to set aside his  
earlier plea of guilty. Ct. Rec. 332, at 2. Counsel for the Defendant  
indicates that a review of formal discovery as well as consideration of  
the government's position that a harsher penalty would be sought if the  
defendant were to go to trial and lose has permitted Mr. Rivera-Corona to  
come to the conclusion that ". . . that--having already served half of

1 his sentence--it was smarter to withdraw his request and accept his  
2 status quo." Ct. Rec. 332, at 3.

3 In Defendant's declaration dated February 10, 2011 (Ct. Rec. 323),  
4 Defendant indicated that his previous attorney was paid for by  
5 Defendant's family and not appointed at public expense. Defendant  
6 declared that his family paid him everything they were able to pay him  
7 but when Defendant wanted to fight his case in front of a jury, it was  
8 not enough money for his attorney's time. Defendant declared his family  
9 was unable to pay his attorney the additional money he wanted for trial  
10 and this fact seemed to change his attorney's willingness to fight for  
11 him. Additionally, Defendant stated in his declaration that his attorney  
12 said that he would prosecute his family if they did not pay him an  
13 additional \$5,000.00. Defendant states in his declaration that he was  
14 stuck between paying \$5000.00 that he did not have, having his family  
15 prosecuted, or going to trial with an attorney Defendant did not trust to  
16 fight for him. Defendant declared that the cause of this entire conflict  
17 was money. Ct. Rec. 323.

18 At the hearing on March 23, 2011, the Government elicited testimony  
19 from Defendant's trial counsel Nicholas Marchi. Mr. Marchi testified  
20 that he never demanded \$5,000 or threatened to prosecute Defendant's  
21 family. Mr. Marchi further testified that the reason Defendant decided  
22 to enter a plea agreement on the day of trial was because a subpoenaed  
23 defense witness who was expected to testify and purportedly provide  
24 exculpatory evidence at trial, was no longer available to do so and that  
25 counsel for that witness had confirmed he would not testify at trial.  
26 Mr. Marchi further testified that he was fully prepared to go to trial

1 had Defendant desired that strategy despite the family's inability to  
2 pay.

3 Of significance to the Court, defendant did not testify at the  
4 hearing regarding the issues raised in his initial declaration or in  
5 rebuttal to Mr. Marchi's testimony.

6 The Court, after hearing testimony from Mr. Marchi, and having found  
7 that testimony credible, grants Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Motion to  
8 Withdraw Guilty Plea.

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

10 1. Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea,  
11 Ct. Rec. 332, is **GRANTED**.

12 2. The sentencing hearing **REMAINS SET** for **May 5, 2011 at 10:30 a.m.**  
13 in Yakima.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to enter  
15 this order and to provide copies to all counsel, the U.S. Probation  
16 Office, and the U.S. Marshal.

17 **DATED** this 26th day of April, 2011.

18 *s/Lonny R. Suko*

19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 LONNY R. SUKO  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE